

Minutes for Rule 21 Working Group Meeting #70P1
September 13, 2005
PG&E
San Francisco, CA

Meeting 70 is the first post-Tomashefsky meeting. We missed you Scott!

There were 17 Process Sub-group members in attendance in person or conferenced in by telephone. The next meeting of the Process-Sub Group is scheduled for 9:30 am, October 7, 2005 at SDG&E in San Diego. A meeting of the Technical Sub-group is scheduled for September 26 & 27 at SDG&E in San Diego. The next regular meeting of the complete Working Group is scheduled for November 16 at SDG&E in San Diego.

Edan Prabhu, Chair

Aldridge	Pat	SCE	Savidge	Dylan	PG&E
Couts	George	SCE	Sheriff	Nora	CAC/EPUC
Iammarino	Mike	SDG&E	Skillman	Fred	PG&E
Jackson	Jerry	PG&E	Solt	Chuck	Lindh & Assoc
Larsen	Eric	RCM Digesters	Torribio	Gerome	SCE
Luke	Robin	RealEnergy	Tunnichliff	Dan	SCE
McAuley	Art	PG&E	Walter	Stacy	PG&E
Mehta	Heather	MRW & Assoc.			
Michel	Dave	CEC			

General

This meeting and the October 7 meeting were scheduled specifically to deal with the DG OIR CPUC decision, D.05-08-013. PG&E, SCE and SDG&E held preliminary discussions among themselves and drafted proposed changes to the model rule. The draft changes provided a very good starting point for the discussions during the rest of the day. Pat Aldridge has prepared an updated draft reflecting the mark-ups discussions during the meeting. The draft will be distributed and posted with these minutes.

CPUC Decision D.05-08-013 Discussion

Ordering Paragraph 1 does not require any action by the Rule 21 WG

Ordering Paragraph 2:

Bullet 1 and 2 provides that certain DG that do not receive regulated subsidies do not need to install NGOMs. –While much of the language was resolved, issues relating to “cost-effective options” were no. Pat Aldridge will talk to the other utilities, and propose new language for the next meeting.

Bullets 3 and 4 address changes to the Dispute Resolution process – The group arrived at generally acceptable language.

Bullet 5 addresses the issue of multiple tariffs behind the same meter, whether NEM or not. The group developed a matrix to identify the various combinations of tariffs, sequencing, and cost responsibilities. Irrelevant combinations can be eliminated later. George Coutts will draft language based on the matrix and discuss it with other utilities prior to the October 7 meeting.

Bullet 6 addresses utility charges for “additional” inspection or verification site visits – Table C.1 was modified and draft language was proposed for this item. Jerry Jackson will mark up the language before the next meeting.

Ordering Paragraph 3: addresses development of a procedure for posting disputes and resolutions on a web site.

The Decision calls for the CEC or “a designated utility” to post and maintain the site.

The group agreed to the following:

- The web site will be the CEC site, and the CEC will do the posting and maintenance.
- An item will be posted if there is a letter of dispute submitted in accordance with paragraph G.2.a.
- The item will be posted after resolution.
- The posting will consist of a summary of the dispute and its resolution. These summaries must be agreed to by both parties prior to posting.
- The utility will initiate the posting process.
- The utility will prepare the draft summaries and submit them to the Producer for revision or concurrence.
- The items will be posted within 30 days of resolution.
- These requirements will be drafted into a new paragraph G.4

The unresolved item is the question of what happens if the Producer declines to have the item posted. Is there no posting, or does the utility post the item without identifying the Producer?

Additional data to be included in the summary– Gen size and type. Interconnection size and Type

Dispute Subject

Resolution

Contact Information – with consent of each party.

Ordering Paragraph 4:

The interconnection procedure for Network systems will be handled by the Technical Group.

The cost allocation for DG facilities that include two NEM generators operating under different tariffs will be handled by the Process Group along with Paragraph 2, bullet 5.

Assignments:

Jerry Jackson – Prior to the next meeting arrange a utility conference call to develop a methodology for determining the charges for “additional” inspection or verification visits.

Jerry Jackson – Draft a new Section G.4 incorporating the Dispute Resolution agreements under Ordering paragraph 3.

George Coutts – Draft changes to address Ordering Paragraph 2, bullet 5.

Pat Aldridge – Provide a clean draft of the proposed model rule a few days prior to the October 7 meeting, so that further changes can be reviewed on the big screen.

Chuck Solt – Attempt to incorporate all the draft changes to Rule 21 into the model rule.

Submitted by Chuck Solt